

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/821,576	04/09/2004	Heng-Chih Lin	TI-36613	1887	
23494	7590 09/02/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED P O BOX 655474, M/S 3999			WAMSLÉY,	WAMSLĖY, PATRICK G	
DALLAS, T			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
·			2819		
			DATE MAIL ED. 00/02/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	1,1-
	10/821,576	LIN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Patrick G. Wamsley	2819	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence äddres	SS
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this commu D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 A	<u>ugust 2005</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the me	rits is
closed in accordance with the practice under l	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10 and 13-20</u> is/are pending in the	application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) 2,3 and 15 is/are wit	hdrawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•	
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,4-10,13,14 and 16-20</u> is/are rejecte	ed.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	epted or b) objected to by the	Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-1	52.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreigr a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. Certified copies of the priority document			
2. Certified copies of the priority document	• •	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior		ed in this National Stag	ge
application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list		nd.	
See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the defined copies not receive	su.	
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) [] Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		Patent Application (PTO-152	2)

Application/Control Number: 10/821,576

Art Unit: 2819

DETAILED ACTION

Election of Species

Claims 2, 3, and 15 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the election requirement in the reply filed on 08/12/2005.

Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1, 4-10, 13-14, and 16-20 in the reply filed on 08/12/2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the grounds that Figures 3A and 3B describe a single invention. This is not found persuasive because applicant has not directly admitted that these species are obvious variants.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claims 10 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claims contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is unclear how the alleged low pass filter, hereafter LPF, function occurs.

Art Unit: 2819

In claim 10, applicant declares that the current steering DAC and combining arrangement collectively perform a LPF function. However, no element is present that is capable of filtering out high frequencies. No figure depicts any circuit, or other device part, that could perform such an operation.

In claim 13, applicant argues that the combining arrangement passes the signal to a modulator without an intervening LPF. If this is true, how does it perform a LPF function? Applicant does not disclose sufficient information to enable the skilled artisan to understand how this device filters out high frequency signal components.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1, 4-9, 14, and 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 6,693,616 to Koyama et al, hereafter Koyama.

For independent claim 1, Koyama discloses an arrangement comprising plural storage devices [DFFs: Figure 1]; plural DACs; and a combining arrangement [not shown in Figure 1, but necessarily present in order to apply analog data from the signal lines to an output device].

Application/Control Number: 10/821,576

Art Unit: 2819

Regarding the "reduced harmonic content" intended use limitation, a recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

For claims 4 and 18, Koyama's DFFs operated based upon N phases of the clock [CLK] signal [as described in columns 5 and 6].

For claim 5, Koyama's DFFs operated based upon equally spaced CLK phases [a timing diagram appears in Figure 2].

For claims 6 and 7, Koyama uses N DACs, having each DFF coupled to a DAC.

For claim 8, Koyama's DACs operate substantially continually, because interruptions in operation would disrupt assembly of the analog signal. Moreover, Koyama's DACs are not clocked, permitting continuous operation.

For claim 9, Koyama clearly has electrical connections joining DAC outputs because the analog signal must be compiled into a single signal for the applications listed in column 18.

For claims 14 and 16, Koyama discloses transmission systems, such as a telephone [Fig. 16A].

For claim 19, Koyama uses more than two phases.

For claim 20, Koyama provides a driver circuit [col. 19, line 52].

Art Unit: 2819

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. U.S. Patent 6,927,714 to Teterwak discloses a current steering DAC. U.S. Patent 6,833,801 to Ostrem et al provides switches for high-speed current steering DACs. U.S. Patent 6,768,438 to Schofield et al couples DFFs [101/301/302/303] to parallel DACs. U.S. Patent 6,741,195 to Cho provides a low glitch current steering DAC. U.S. Patent 6,720,898 to Ostrem shows an array for current steering DACs. U.S. Patent 6,693,616 to Koyama et al couples DFFs to DACs. U.S. Patent 6,392,573 to Volk couples DFFs [708/710] to a DAC. U.S. Patent 5,625,360 to Garrity et al uses DACs [42/43/44/45] in a DAC.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Patrick G. Wamsley whose telephone number is (571) 272-1814. The official facsimile number is (571) 273-8300. An alternate facsimile number, (571) 273-1814, should only be used for unofficial documents.

Patrick G. Wamsley

September 1, 2005